

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/069,019	THOMAS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thomas McKenzie, Ph.D.	1624	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Thomas McKenzie, Ph.D.

(3) _____

(2) Donald Bird

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 19 August 2004

Time: 5:30 pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Notice of Appeal and after-final amendments, received on 7/14/04, and labeled for case 10/069,091, were intended by Applicants to be submitted in this application.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)